AF/GAU 1755





RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

EXAMINING GROUP 1700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Kumar et al.

Applic No.: 09/136,483

Filed : August 19, 1998

For : ALUMINUM OXIDE PARTICLES

Docket No.: N19.12-0016

Group Art Unit: 1755

Examiner: M. Marcheschi

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Assistant Commissioner for Patents **BOX AF**Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING SENT BY U.S. MAIL, FIRST CLASS, TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, THIS

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, THIS

15 DAY OF CONTROL 2000.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesy extended their undersigned representative in a telephone interview August The Examiner explained his position that alk of the cited references disclosed particles sizes within the claimed Applicants argued that the range. narrow particle distribution was a feature not disclosed in the prior art and could not be practiced based on the teachings in the prior art. Applicants suggested an analysis based on a reference by reference The Rostoker patent was discussed. No agreement was reached since the Examiner maintained his position that the claimed uniform materials were embedded within the less uniform materials disclosed in the prior art.

An Appeal Brief was filed on August 30, 2000. The Appeal is pending.

The Director of the Patent and Trademark Office is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-1123.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

Bv:

Peter S. Dardi, Ph.D., Reg. No. 39,650

Suite 1600 - International Centre

900 Second Avenue South

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3319

Phone: (612) 334-3222 Fax: (612) 339-3312

PSD:

RECEIVED
SEP 25 2000
TC 1700 MAIL ROOM